

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/058,005	01/29/2002	Kenji Suzuki	100353-00096	3133	
7590 11/28/2003  ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC Suite 600			EXAMINER		
			IM, JUNG	IM, JUNGHWA M	
1050 Connection	ut Avenue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, D	C 20036-5339		2811		

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• •	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/058,005	SUZUKI ET AL.	
· ·	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Junghwa M. Im	2811	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply of places the applica	tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) Mathematical The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo he shortened statutory period for reply te later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the finally	opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) 🛴 they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi 	dered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			ind an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,10 and 11</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approximatel	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	•		
10. Other:	( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( (		)
		COOLETTER BYSORY PATENT EX COMOUNT COMTER	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed limitation of "a wire line that is connected to said first power supply I/O cell" in claim 1 is an example of new issue which would require further search and consideration to determine patentablity.